1	Rachel B. Abrams (Cal Bar No. 209316) ADAM B. WOLF (Cal Bar No. 215914)		
2	Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.766.3544		
4	Facsimile: 415.840.9435 Email: rabrams@peifferwolf.com		
5	Email: awolf@peifferwolf.com		
6	TIFFANY R. ELLIS (Admitted PHV) Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP		
7	2229 Trumbull St. Detroit, MI 48216 Telephone: 313.210.1559 Facsimile: 415.840.9435 Email: tellis@peifferwolf.com		
8			
9			
10	Counsel for Plaintiff		
11	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13		MDL No. 3084 CRB	
14	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,		
15	PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION	DECLARATION OF TIFFANY R. ELLIS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO	
16		WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF I.C.	
17	This Document Relates to:		
18	I.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al;		
19	3:25-cv-06382-CRB		
20	I, Tiffany R. Ellis, declare:		
21	1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway and Wise, LLP. I an		
22	admitted to practice before this Court. I make this declaration based on my own personal		
23	knowledge. If called upon to testify, I	could and would testify competently to the truth	
24	of the matters stated herein:		
25	2. Plaintiff I.C. has not responded to any efforts to reach her made by myself or my staff		
26	since September 29, 2025. Additionally, Plaintiff I.C. has been unable to provide Peiffer		
27	Wolf with adequate information to fulfill her discovery obligations.		
28	3. Plaintiff I.C.'s failure to communicate	with and provide sufficient information to our	

- firm has presented a circumstance covered by Rule 1.16(b)(4) of the California Rules of Professional Conduct. We are unable to meet discovery deadlines and otherwise prosecute her case without her input. It is my opinion that our withdrawal from the case has become necessary.
- 4. Under the California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(d), my firm has taken all reasonably available steps to avoid prejudice to the rights of Plaintiff I.C. ("Plaintiff").
- 5. Plaintiff has failed to properly communicate with our office throughout her representation.
 - a. On December 4, 2024 Plaintiff retained Peiffer Wolf as legal counsel.
 - b. Between December 4, 2024 and November 14, 2025, Plaintiff received numerous emails, text messages, telephone calls, and voice messages requesting that she contact our office. These communications included twenty (20) unsuccessful phone calls with voice messages, seven (7) unanswered text messages, and eleven (11) unanswered e-mails.
 - c. During the aforementioned time period, our office and staff was in the process of collecting further information from Plaintiff about her claim.
 - d. Plaintiff contacted, or responded to contact attempts by, our office on nine (9) occasions during that time period.
 - e. On July 30, 2025, as Plaintiff's case was filed in the MDL, our office attempted to contact Plaintiff to inform her of her discovery obligations and Plaintiff Fact Sheet requirements.
 - f. Peiffer Wolf submitted Plaintiff's Uber Ride Information Form and Plaintiff Fact Sheet with the information previously provided by Plaintiff.
 - g. On September 12, 2025, Uber's counsel informed our firm of an alleged deficiency in Plaintiff's ride receipt or ride information form.
 - h. Between September 12, 2025 through the present, these communications to Plaintiff included one (1) unsuccessful phone calls with ability to leave voice message, three (3) e-mails, one (1) text message, and one (1) letter sent by mail.

1	In these communications, we repeatedly explained the need for her continued		
2	participation in the case, the risk that her case may be dismissed, and that we		
3	would need to withdraw as counsel if she did not contact our office.		
4	i. Between September 12, 2025 through the present, Plaintiff only responded once		
5	to any contact attempts.		
6	j. On November 14, 2025 we sent Plaintiff a letter by U.S. certified mail explaining		
7	that if she did not contact our firm by November 18, 2025 we would have no		
8	choice but to withdraw as counsel.		
9	6. Plaintiff has failed to provide our firm with all the information necessary to fulfill her		
10	discovery obligations.		
11	7. On November 14, 2025, we sent Uber advance notice of our intent to withdraw from		
12	Plaintiff's representation.		
13	8. To date, Plaintiff has not agreed to voluntarily dismiss her claims and has not informed		
14	our firm that alternative counsel has been retained.		
15	9. Our withdrawal from this case will not impact the timing or schedule of this litigation,		
16	and we have taken all reasonable steps possible to avoid prejudice to Plaintiff by		
17	informing her of her options and the consequences of failing to comply with case		
18	deadlines.		
19	10. I understand that pursuant to Local Rule 11-5(b), leave to withdraw may be conditioned		
20	on our firm continuing to accept papers to forward to the client. We are able to accept		
21	this responsibility.		
22	Executed this 19 th day of November, 2025 in Detroit, Michigan.		
23	/s/ Tiffany R. Ellis		
24	Tiffany R. Ellis		
25	Counsel for Plaintiff		
26	Counsel for 1 tutility		
27			
28			